FIRST AMENDMENT TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT

This **FIRST AMENDMENT TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT** ("Amendment") is entered by and between the **City of Farmers Branch, Texas** ("City") and **Weaver Boos Consultants, LLC – Southwest** ("Consultant") as of the Effective Date.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on or about April 29, 2009, City entered into that certain *Professional Services Contract* ("the Contract") with Consultant for the purpose of engaging Consultant to provide professional engineering and surveying services relating to City's application to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") for a vertical expansion of City's municipal solid waste landfill ("the Landfill") located in the City of Lewisville, Texas ("Lewisville"); and

WHEREAS, Lewisville has filed suit against City with respect to operations of the Landfill that have and will make necessary additional services from Consultant in support of City's defense against Lewisville's allegations; and

WHEREAS, City has determined additional services from Consultant are needed in relation to an application for an amendment to City's TCEQ municipal solid waste landfill permit ("the Landfill Permit") to construct a slurry wall around a portion of the Landfill; and

WHEREAS, additional services will also be required from Consultant with respect to work to be performed in support of City's the contested hearing proceedings before the TCEQ regarding the City's application to TCEQ seeking an amendment to the Landfill Permit for a vertical expansion of the Landfill; and

WHEREAS, City and Consultant desire to enter into this Amendment for the purpose of amending the Contract to provide for the additional services described above.

NOW THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the promises contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledge, City and Consultant agree as follows:

- 1. The Contract is hereby amended as follows:
 - A. Section 3.1 is amended by replacing the amount of "\$1,889,400.00" with the amount of "\$2,174,400.00."
 - B. Exhibit "A" *Scope of Work* is amended in its entirety as set forth in Attachment 1, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. City and Contract agree that except as amended by Section 1, above, the Contract remains in full force and effect without amendment.

This Amendment shall be effective when signed by authorized representatives of City 3. and Consultant.

| SIGNED AND AGREED this | day of | , 2013. |
|------------------------|--------|---------|
| | | |

City:

City of Farmers Branch, Texas

By:_____ Gary D. Greer, City Manager

ATTEST:

Angela Kelly, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Attorney

SIGNED AND AGREED this _____ day of _____, 2013.

Consultant:

Weaver Boos Consultants, LLC - Southwest

By:___

Jeffrey P. Young, P.E., Principal

ATTACHMENT 1 AMENDED SCOPE OF WORK

SCOPE OF WORK

CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH

ENGINEERING SERVICES

CAMELOT LANDFILL EXPANSION

Prepared for

City of Farmers Branch

December 2008

Revised May 2013

Prepared by

Weaver Boos Consultants, LLC–Southwest TBPE Registration No. F-3727 6420 Southwest Boulevard, Suite 206 Fort Worth, Texas 76109 817-735-9770

CONTENTS

| 1 | SUM | IMARY | 1 |
|---|-----|--|----|
| 2 | SCO | PE OF SERVICES AND INDIVIDUAL TASK BUDGETS | 4 |
| | 2.1 | Development of Permit Strategy and Conceptual Design | 4 |
| | 2.2 | City of Lewisville SUP (includes Engineering Site Plan and Plat) | 5 |
| | 2.3 | Floodplain Permits | 6 |
| | 2.4 | USACE Wetland Permitting/Archaeology Survey/ Endangered Species | 7 |
| | 2.5 | LFG System/Air Permitting | 8 |
| | 2.6 | Major Permit Amendment Application | 9 |
| | 2.7 | TCEQ Review Process | 12 |
| | 2.8 | Tasks 8, 9, and 10 | 12 |
| | | | |

3 PROJECT SCHEDULE AND ESTIMATED ANNUAL BUDGET 13

TABLES

| 1-1 | Budget and Scope of Work Summary | 3 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2-1 | Task 1 – Development of Permit Strategy and Conceptual Design | 4 |
| 2-2 | Task 2 – City of Lewisville SUP | 5 |
| 2-3 | Task 3 – Floodplain Permits | 6 |
| 2-4 | Task 4 – USACE Wetland Permitting/Archaeology Survey | 7 |
| 2-5 | Task 5 – LFG System/Air Permitting | 8 |
| 2-6 | Task 6 – Major Permit Amendment Application | 11 |
| 2-7 | Task 7 – TCEQ Review Process | 12 |

APPENDIX A

WBC Schedule of Charges (Updated)

1 SUMMARY

Weaver Boos Consultants, LLC–Southwest (WBC) is pleased to submit this Scope of Work which includes all the technical tasks required for the successful completion of the expansion of the Camelot Landfill. It is our understanding that this project will include both a horizontal and vertical expansion of the existing site. A general site layout is shown on Figure 1.1. Figure 1.1 also highlights the existing permit boundary and the proposed expansion of the permit boundary. In the early stages of this project, WBC will work with the City to develop options for expanding the waste fill footprint into the horizontal expansion areas. The design objectives will include: (1) developing a site design that will meet all applicable regulatory requirements, (2) providing a design that will allow the development and implementation of innovative public relation strategies, and (3) allowing for the site to be developed and operated in a cost-effective manner.

A summary of the key task and budget information is provided on Table 1-1. Supporting information for each task is included in Section 2 and project schedule is presented in Section 3.

Two categories of budget estimates are provided in Table 1-1 to identify a potential range of costs for this complex landfill expansion project. The initial estimate provided for each task is based on minimal contingencies. The completion of this project will involve the approval of many regulatory agencies (e.g., TCEQ, FEMA, USACE, FAA, TxDOT, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Development, and the Texas Historical Commission). In addition, this project will also include obtaining approval of a zoning change from the City of Lewisville. Each of these regulatory agencies (and the City of Lewisville for certain permit applications) will complete a review of the various permit applications that will be submitted to each agency. The level of effort that will be required to respond to each review is difficult to determine prior to the initiation of the project. However, the estimates provided are based on WBC's extensive experience with the successful completion of similar projects. There are several contingencies included with each estimate. However, the contingencies are based on typical unexpected situations that will likely be experienced during the course of this complex project.

The second estimate provided represents an upper range of costs that is based on potential additional services that may be required to complete each task. As discussed with the City, this is not only a very complex project, but several of the tasks may also be impacted by decisions that are political in nature. For example, after obtaining an agreement regarding the site configuration with the City of Lewisville, the WBC team

will also seek approvals for the various other required permits (e.g., floodplain and wetland permits) prior to submitting the landfill expansion application to the TCEQ. During the permitting process, ongoing negotiations may also be occurring with adjacent neighborhood groups. The City of Farmers Branch may decide that it is in the best interest of the project to reach an agreement with an adjacent neighborhood that may change the proposed configuration of the site. Although this action may significantly reduce the hearing cost at the end of the project, the permitting cost may increase if the change in the proposed configuration forces WBC to update the design and proceed with a second City of Lewisville zoning application, as well as revising the other related permit applications. The second estimate provided incorporates these types of contingencies.

Tasks 9 and 10 were added to this Scope of Work as a change order in May 2013.

Table 1-1 Budget and Scope of Work Summary Camelot Landfill Expansion

| | Task | Budget Estimate Based on Minimal Contingencies | Upper Range Budget Estimate Based on Potential Additional Services | Scope of Work Summary |
|------|---|--|---|--|
| 1. | Development of Permit Strategy and Conceptual Design | \$152,000 | \$200,000 | This task will involve the development of various conceptual designs to present to the City of Farmers B to verify that each conceptual design will be technically feasible. Once the general concept is developed obtain input from neighbor groups and the City of Lewisville. Conceptual plans for the development of t |
| 2. | City of Lewisville SUP (includes Engineering Site Plan and Plat) | \$171,100 | \$230,000 | This task will include preparation of a final plat and engineering site plan. The final plat and engineering change the zoning from Agricultural/Open Space to Specific Use – Landfill. This task also includes the Reuse Facility. |
| 3. | Floodplain Permits | \$78,100 | \$102,000 | The expansion of the site will involve the placement of fill within the 100-year floodplain of the Elm Fo and submittal of a Trinity River Corridor Development Certificate for the proposed expansion to the C Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) will be prepared and submitted to the City of Lewisville Floodplain A |
| 4. | USACE Wetland Permitting/ Archaeology Survey/Endangered Species | \$70,600 | \$92,000 | This task involves completion of a USACE Section 404 wetland permit, archaeology investigation, and located on the 18-acre tract owned by Allied will be relocated. |
| 5. | Landfill Gas Management Plan/ Air Permitting/GCCS Planning | \$51,300 | \$75,400 | This task will include developing a gas management plan that addresses the requirements listed in Title prepare an air permitting strategy for landfill expansion and, if applicable, prepare the standard permit include GCCS planning and implementation within the LFG-to-energy project. |
| 6. | Major Permit Amendment Application | \$585,500 | \$650,000 | This task involves the development of the major permit amendment application in accordance with t submittal to the TCEQ. |
| 7. | TCEQ Review Process | \$193,500 | \$240,000 | This task includes addressing TCEQ comments during the technical review period, participating in the TC and assisting TCEQ with the development of the draft permit. |
| Tota | l without SOAH Hearing Process | \$1,302,100 | \$1,589,400 | |
| Task | 8 – SOAH Hearing Process: | | | |
| • N | o Opposition or Requests for Hearing | \$0 | \$0 | |
| 1 | o Hearing due to Successful Settlement | \$30,000 | \$100,000 | The estimates provided show typical costs for various services after the application is declared technical |
| | egotiations | \$50,000 | \$150,000 | The tasks associated with this stage of the project include the participation in settlement negotiation |
| | Iinimal Hearing Based on Limited pposition | | | testimony, depositions, and the SOAH hearing. |
| • N | fajor Hearing that Includes Several ophisticated Opposition Groups | \$120,000 | \$300,000 | |
| Subt | otal | \$1,422,100 | \$1,889,400 | |

s Branch. Several key technical demonstrations will be completed bed, the project team will work with the City of Farmers Branch to of the LFG system will also be prepared.

ing site plan will need to be submitted to the City of Lewisville to the cost to develop an Engineering Site Plan for the LFG Beneficial

Fork of the Trinity River. This task will include the development City of Lewisville and the USACE. Additionally, a Conditional Administrator and FEMA.

and endangered species assessment. It is assumed that the creek

tle 30 Texas Administrative Code §330.321. In addition, we will nit and general operating permit applications. This task will also

the Title 30 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 330 rules for

TCEQ sponsored public meeting, handling notice requirements,

cally complete by the TCEQ and the application is sent to SOAH. ions, completion of additional technical demonstrations, pre-file

Table 1-1 (Continued) Budget and Scope of Work Summary Camelot Landfill Expansion

| | Task | Budget Estimate Based on Minimal Contingencies | Upper Range Budget Estimate Based on Potential Additional Services | Scope of Work Summary |
|-------|---|--|---|--|
| 9. | 9. Slurry Wall Permit Modification \$35,000 | | 5,000 | This task includes the preparation of a permit modification to add a slurry wall to the existing Si wall will extend around the southern and eastern portion of the facility. Following the City's remodification will be submitted to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). |
| | | 1.6.2 (Balance Constrained) | | This task also includes a budget to respond to TCEQ comments. WBC is well-versed in T nevertheless, it is understood that the TCEQ provides comments on most every technical submitted |
| 10. | Litigation Support | \$250,000 | | The purpose of this task is to provide technical services associated with various legal activities re- include the completion of additional technical demonstrations, depositions, and other tasks req issues. The Scope of Work for each of these tasks will be discussed with the City prior to ini- estimate is based on similar projects and it is understood that the level of effort and budget require |
| Total | | \$1,707,100 | \$2,174,400 | |

Site Development Plan for the Camelot Landfill. The slurry review of the draft permit modification, a final copy of the

TCEQ technical requirements and permitting procedures; ttal which they review.

regarding the expansion of the Camelot Landfill. Tasks may equired to support the City's legal counsel on various legal nitiating work on each specific task. The proposed budget ired will depend upon the specific scope for each task.

2 SCOPE OF SERVICES AND INDIVIDUAL TASK BUDGETS

2.1 Development of Permit Strategy and Conceptual Design

This task will involve the development of various conceptual designs to present to the City of Farmers Branch. Several key technical demonstrations will be completed to verify that each conceptual design will be technically feasible. Once the general concept is developed, the project team will work with the City of Farmers Branch to obtain input from neighbor groups and the City of Lewisville. This task also includes the development of an initial Land Use Study that can be used to facilitate discussions with the City of Lewisville and neighborhood groups. The budget estimate for this task is summarized in Table 2-1.

| | Task | Labor | Direct Expenses | Total Budget |
|-----|---|----------|--------------------|--------------|
| 1A. | Permit Strategy Meetings | \$23,000 | \$2,000 | \$25,000 |
| 1B. | Records Search/Gather All Historical Permit Information | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | \$5,000 |
| 1C. | Preliminary Drainage Design/Floodplain Improvement Analysis | \$15,000 | \$0 | \$15,000 |
| 1D. | Verify Geologic Information and Geotechnical Information Meets Current Rules | \$8,000 | \$0 | \$8,000 |
| 1E. | Develop Conceptual Final Contour and Excavation Plans | \$20,000 | \$0 | \$20,000 |
| 1F. | Initial Location Restriction Review | \$4,000 | \$0 | \$4,000 |
| 1G. | Conceptual Separatory Overliner Design | \$7,000 | \$0 | \$7,000 |
| 1H. | Initial Land Use Study and Presentation Drawings | \$38,000 | \$0 | \$38,000 |
| 1I. | Complete Traffic Study and TxDOT Coordination | \$14,500 | \$500 | \$15,000 |
| 1J. | Initial Capacity and Site Life Estimates | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$5,000 |
| 1K. | Initial Meetings with City of Lewisville and Neighborhood Groups | \$9,000 | \$1,000 | \$10,000 |
| | | | Total | \$152,000 |

Table 2-1Task 1 – Development of Permit Strategy and Conceptual Design

2.2 City of Lewisville SUP (includes Engineering Site Plan and Plat)

This task will include preparation of a final plat and engineering site plan. The final plat and engineering site plan will need to be submitted to the City of Lewisville to change the zoning to allow for the continued development of the expanded landfill. Task 2E includes the preparation of an Engineering Site Plan for the LFG facility. It is assumed that the plat for the LFG facility will be completed by others and the City will also supply WBC with the specific layout drawings for the LFG facility. WBC will use these drawings for the Engineering Site Plan. It is assumed that the City will pay the fees to develop the LFG Engineering Site Plan and Plat. WBC will pay the fees for the landfill expansion SUP and Plat. The budget is summarized in Table 2-2.

| | Task | Labor | Direct Expenses | Total Budget |
|--|--|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 2A. | Permit Boundary Survey and Plat | | | |
| 2A.1 | Site Visits | \$3,800 | \$200 | \$4,000 |
| 2A.2 | Property Records and Easement Research | \$2,800 | \$200 | \$4,500 |
| 2A.3 | Boundary Survey | \$16,500 | \$500 | \$17,000 |
| 2A.4 | Plat Preparation | \$19,000 | \$3,000 | \$22,000 |
| | | Tas | sk 2A Subtotal | \$47,500 |
| 2B. | Engineering Site Plan | | | |
| 2B.1 | Develop Engineering Site Plan | \$20,000 | \$2,000 | \$22,000 |
| 2B.2 | Tree Survey/Landscape Plan | \$18,000 | \$2,000 | \$20,000 |
| 2B.3 | Planning and Zoning Presentation | \$5,000 | \$300 | \$5,300 |
| 2B.4 | City Council Presentation | \$5,000 | \$300 | \$5,300 |
| | | Tas | sk 2B Subtotal | \$52,600 |
| 2C. | SUP Meetings/Project Management | \$10,000 | \$1,000 | \$11,000 |
| 2D. | City of Lewisville Landfill Expansion SUP Permit Fees | | \$33,000 | \$33,000 |
| 2E. | LFG Beneficial Reuse Facility Engineering Site Plan | \$25,000 | \$2,000 | \$27,000 |
| an a | | Total 2A, 2B, 2 | C, 2D, and 2E | \$171,100 |

Table 2-2Task 2 – City of Lewisville SUP

2.3 Floodplain Permits

The expansion of the site will likely involve the placement of fill within the 100-year floodplain of the Elm Fork of the Trinity River. This task will include the development and submittal of a Trinity River Corridor Development Certificate for the proposed expansion to the City of Lewisville and the USACE. Additionally, a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) will be prepared and submitted to the City of Lewisville Floodplain Administrator and FEMA. The budget for this task is summarized in Table 2-3.

| | Task | Labor | Direct Expenses | Total Budget |
|------|---|----------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 3A. | CLOMR Application | | | |
| 3A.1 | Complete Initial Application | \$20,000 | \$500 | \$20,500 |
| 3A.2 | Respond to City of Lewisville Comments | \$3,000 | \$300 | \$3,300 |
| 3A.3 | Respond to FEMA Comments | \$5,000 | \$500 | \$5,500 |
| 3A.4 | Permit Fees | | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| | | Tas | sk 3A Subtotal | \$34,300 |
| 3B. | CDC Application | | | |
| 3B.1 | Complete CDC Application | \$25,000 | \$500 | \$25,500 |
| 3B.2 | Respond to City of Lewisville Comments | \$2,000 | \$300 | \$2,300 |
| 3B.3 | Respond to USACE Comments | \$5,000 | \$500 | \$5,500 |
| 3B.4 | Permit Fees | | \$4,000 | \$4,000 |
| | de an anno 1999 - Change ann an 1999 - Change an | Ta | sk 3B Subtotal | \$37,300 |
| 3C. | Project Management/Meetings | \$6,000 | \$500 | \$6,500 |
| | Total 3A, 3B, and 3C | | | |

Table 2-3Task 3 – Floodplain Permits

2.4 USACE Wetland Permitting/Archaeology Survey/ Endangered Species

This task includes the completion of a USACE Section 404 wetland permit, archaeology investigation, and endangered species assessment. It is assumed that the creek located on the current 18 acres that is owned by Allied will be relocated, which will require a USACE Section 404 permit. We have assumed that no significant archaeological artifacts or endangered species will be found on-site. The budget for this task is summarized in Table 2-4.

| | Task | Labor | Direct Expenses | Total Budget |
|------|--|----------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 4A. | USACE Permitting Process | | | |
| 4A.1 | Complete Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination | \$9,000 | \$1,000 | \$10,000 |
| 4A.2 | Develop Individual Permit | \$20,000 | \$1,000 | \$21,000 |
| 4A.3 | Respond to USACE Comments | \$6,000 | \$500 | \$6,500 |
| 4A.4 | Notice Coordination | \$1,000 | \$500 | \$1,500 |
| | Task 4A Subtotal | | | |
| 4B. | Archaeology Survey | | | |
| 4B.1 | Complete Archaeology Survey | \$20,000 | \$3,000 | \$23,000 |
| 4B.2 | Texas Historical Commission Coordination | \$2,000 | \$500 | \$2,500 |
| | | Ta | sk 4B Subtotal | \$25,500 |
| 4C. | Endangered Species | | | |
| 4C.1 | Complete Endangered Species Survey | \$2,000 | \$500 | \$2,500 |
| 4C.2 | Texas Parks and Wildlife Coordination | \$2,000 | \$300 | \$2,300 |
| 4C.3 | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Coordination | \$1,000 | \$300 | \$1,300 |
| | | Tas | sk 4C Subtotal | \$6,100 |
| | | Total 4 | A, 4B, and 4C | \$70,600 |

Table 2-4Task 4 – USACE Wetland Permitting/Archaeology Survey/Endangered Species

Q:\CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH\EXPANSION 2010\SCOPE OF WORK-REV 1.DOC

2.5 LFG System/Air Permitting

This task will include developing a gas management plan that addresses the requirements listed in Title 30 Texas Administrative Code §330.371. In addition, we will prepare an air permitting strategy for landfill expansion and, if applicable, prepare the standard air permit and general operating permit applications for the landfill expansion. This task will also include GCCS planning and implementation with the LFG-to-energy project.

It has been assumed that with the proposed landfill expansion the site will not require major source or PSD permitting, and that the site will remain eligible for using the standard air permit and general operating permit for landfills.

| | Task | Labor | Direct Expenses | Total Budget |
|-----|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 5A. | Develop LFG Model Based on Expansion | \$3,800 | \$0 | \$3,800 |
| 5B. | LFG System Master Plan | \$17,800 | \$200 | \$18,000 |
| 5C. | Air Quality Permit Strategy | \$3,300 | \$200 | \$3,500 |
| 5D. | Subchapter U Standard Air Permit | \$7,300 | \$200 | \$7,500 |
| 5E. | General Operating Permit | \$4,300 | \$200 | \$4,500 |
| 5F. | Landfill Gas Management Plan | \$14,000 | \$0 | \$14,000 |
| | | | Total | \$51,300 |

Table 2-5 Task 5 – LFG System/Air Permitting

2.6 Major Permit Amendment Application

This task involves the development of a major permit amendment application in accordance with the Title 30 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 330 rules for submittal to the TCEQ. WBC is one of the few consultants in Texas that has submitted a major permit amendment application under the revised TCEQ solid waste regulations that went into effect in March 2006.

The major permit amendment will address geology, hydrogeology, site drainage, and the design of the various landfill components, as well as the development of a Site Development Plan (SDP), which identifies the development of each stage of the landfill. The following summarizes the key components of the major permit amendment

- Parts I and II of the application will be developed to address relevant TCEQ requirements for traffic, land use, and legal matters associated with the landfill development.
- Development of the Geology Report will include identifying subsurface soil conditions as well as hydrogeology of the site. The geology section of this task includes preparation of a soil boring plan with boring depth variance request, a limited subsurface investigation, Woodbine Aquifer groundwater sampling and analyses, 12 months of water level measurements, and preparation of the geology report for permit application. The subsurface investigation is designed to characterize the uppermost aquifer in the expansion areas, demonstrate the poor permeability of the underlying shale, and determine the hydrogeology of the Woodbine Aquifer. The subsurface investigation will include advancing 8 piezometer boreholes.
 - Five boreholes will be advanced to at least 30 feet below the Elevation of Deepest Excavation. Four of these five boreholes will penetrate the top of the Woodbine aquifer.
 - One deep borehole will be completed as a piezometer in the underlying shale for poorly permeable demonstration purposes.
 - Three shallow boreholes will be completed as uppermost aquifer piezometers to determine ground elevations in the expansion areas.
- A Surfacewater Drainage Report will be developed to ensure that the proposed conditions of the site meets the drainage design requirements set forth in Title 30 TAC 330 Regulations. Landfill final cover and perimeter drainage structure designs including swales, drainage letdowns (which include a geomembrane letdown option), perimeter channels, and storm water detention ponds will be developed as part of the drainage report.
- The Excavation Plan will be developed based on the site geologic investigation. The Liner Quality Control Plan will include the design of the dewatering system

and uplift demonstrations for the Woodbine Aquifer as applicable to ensure that the proposed liner system will be stable.

- A Final Closure Plan, which includes a composite final cover system and an alternative final cover system (Evapotranspiration (ET) Final Cover and Exposed Geomembrane Solar Cover), will be developed as part of the SDP. The Closure Plan will also include a Final Cover Quality Control Plan which will govern the construction of the final cover system options. To facilitate the permitting effort, a permit modification will be submitted to the TCEQ prior to the submittal of the major permit amendment to permit an ET final cover system as well as an exposed geomembrane solar cover. The estimate for this task includes the development of the permit modification and TCEQ comment response for the existing site, and revision of the design for the permit amendment application.
- Liner and leachate collection system designs will be developed for the bottom and overliner systems. The design will include an alternative liner system for the overliner and associated point of compliance demonstrations.
- Closure and Postclosure Care Cost Estimates, Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Plan, Landfill Gas Management Plan, Post Closure Care Plan, Site Life Calculations, and a Site Operating Plan will be incorporated in to the major permit amendment.

The budget estimate for this task is summarized in Table 2-6.

| ltem | Labor | Direct Expenses | Total Budget |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Parts I/II – General Application Requirements | | | 3 |
| Parts I/II | \$25,000 | \$0 | \$25,000 |
| Land Use Study | \$10,000 | \$2,000 | \$12,000 |
| Facility Layout Maps | \$15,000 | \$0 | \$15,000 |
| Part III – Site Development Plan | | | |
| Site Development Plan Narrative | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$5,000 |
| IIIA – Site Plans, Cross Sections, and Details | \$12,000 | \$0 | \$12,000 |
| IIIB – Point of Compliance Demonstration | \$30,000 | \$0 | \$30,000 |
| IIIC – Leachate and Contaminated Water Management Plan | \$20,000 | \$0 | \$20,000 |
| IIID – SLQCP | \$17,000 | \$0 | \$17,000 |
| IIIE – FCSQCP | \$10,000 | \$0 | \$10,000 |
| IIIF – Surface Water Drainage Report | \$55,000 | \$0 | \$55,000 |
| IIIG – Geology | \$70,000 | \$60,800 | \$130,800 |
| IIIH – GWSAP | \$10,000 | \$500 | \$10,500 |
| IIII – Geotechnical Report | \$30,000 | \$5,500 | \$35,500 |
| IIIJ – LFG Management Plan | Budget included in Task 5. | | |
| IIIK – Closure Plan (includes ET AFC and EGSC) | \$87,000 | \$3,000 | \$90,000 |
| IIIL – Postclosure Care Plan | \$10,000 | \$0 | \$10,000 |
| IIIM – Closure and Postclosure Care Cost Estimates | \$5,500 | \$0 | \$5,500 |
| IIIN – Site Life Calculations | \$2,500 | \$0 | \$2,500 |
| Part IV – Site Operating Plan | | | |
| Complete SOP | \$4,000 | \$0 | \$4,000 |
| Project Management | | | |
| TCEQ Coordination | \$3,000 | \$1,000 | \$4,000 |
| Incorporate City and Legal Review Comments | \$25,000 | \$2,500 | \$27,500 |
| COG Review | \$4,000 | \$200 | \$4,200 |
| Document Compilation/Production | \$8,000 | \$12,000 | \$20,000 |
| Project Meetings/Project Management/Quality Review | \$38,000 | \$2,000 | \$40,000 |
| Total | \$496,000 | \$89,500 | \$585,500 |

Table 2-6Task 6 – Major Permit Amendment Application

2.7 TCEQ Review Process

This task includes addressing TCEQ comments during the technical review period, participating in the TCEQ sponsored public meeting, handling notice requirements, and assisting TCEQ with the development of the draft permit. The estimated budget is provided in Table 2-7.

| | Task | Labor | Direct Expenses | Total Budget |
|-----|---|----------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 7A. | TCEQ Administrative Review/Notice Coordination | \$3,000 | \$20,000 | \$23,000 |
| 7B. | First TCEQ Review Comment Response | \$65,000 | \$2,000 | \$67,000 |
| 7C. | Second TCEQ Review Comment Response | \$40,000 | \$1,000 | \$41,000 |
| 7D. | Public Meeting | \$15,000 | \$2,000 | \$17,000 |
| 7E. | Draft Permit | \$2,500 | \$0 | \$2,500 |
| 7F. | Project Management/Meetings | \$15,000 | \$5,000 | \$20,000 |
| 7G. | Document Production | \$8,000 | \$15,000 | \$23,000 |
| | | | Total | \$193,500 |

Table 2-7Task 7 – TCEQ Review Process

2.8 Tasks 8, 9, and 10

These tasks are summarized on Table 1-1.

3 PROJECT SCHEDULE AND ESTIMATED ANNUAL BUDGET

Based on our discussions, we have developed a project schedule, which is included on the following page. Various key milestones are noted. A more detailed schedule will be prepared during Task 1.

In addition, Table 3-1 has been developed to provide an estimate of the cost per year for the expected duration of the project. The estimated is based on the cost summary provided on Table 1-1.

| Year | Estimated Cost | |
|--|-------------------|--|
| 2008/2009 | \$332,000 | |
| 2009/2010 | \$655,600 | |
| 2010/2011 | \$301,000 | |
| 2011/2012 | \$43,500 | |
| 2012/2013 | \$90,000 | |
| Total without Contingencies ¹ | \$1,422,100 | |
| Contingencies (Refer to Table 1-1) | \$467,300 | |
| Total with Contingencies | \$1,889,400 | |
| Total with the Task 9 and 10 Change Order | \$2,174,400 | |

Table 3-1 Estimated Annual Cost

¹ Includes \$120,000 for the SOAH Hearing Process.

APPENDIX A SCHEDULE OF CHARGES

WEAVER BOOS CONSULTANTS FEE SCHEDULE (Effective January 1, 2012)

| I. | PROI | FESSIONAL STAFF | Unit | <u>U.S. \$</u> | | | |
|------|----------------------------------|--|-----------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | a) | Principal/Corporate Consultant | Hr | 185.00 | | | |
| | b) | Senior Project Director | Hr | 173.00 | | | |
| | c) | Project Director | Hr Ur | 163.00 142.00 | | | |
| | d) e) | Senior Project Manager Senior Project Engineer/Scientist | Hr | 130.00 | | | |
| | f) | Project Manager | Hr | 119.00 | | | |
| | g) h) | Project Engineer/Scientist/Environmental Specialist | Hr | 103.00 | | | |
| | ĥ) | Staff Engineer/Scientist/Environmental Specialist | Hr | 93.00 | | | |
| | i) j) | Field Engineer/Scientist/Environmental Specialist | Hr Чт | 85.00 76.00 | | | |
| |]) | Engineer/Scienties/Environmental Specialist | | 70.00 | | | |
| II. | TECH | INICAL STAFF | | | | | |
| | a) | Union Engineering Technician-Journeyman | Hr | 99.00 | | | |
| | b) | Union Engineering Technician | Hr ⊔r | 93.00 93.00 | | | |
| | c) d) | Construction Superintendent | Hr | 82.00 | | | |
| | e) | System Specialist III. | Hr | 120.00 | | | |
| | f) | System Specialist II | Hr | 85.00 | | | |
| | f) g) h) i) j) k) | System Specialist I | Hr | 76.00 | | | |
| | h) | System Technician Certified Technician | | 71.00 66.00 | | | |
| | 1) i) | Senior Engineering Technician | Hr | 60.00 | | | |
| | k) | Engineering Technician II | Hr | 55.00 | | | |
| | 1) | Engineering Technician I | Hr | 43.00 | | | |
| TTT | CTIDD | ORT STAFF | | | | | |
| 111. | a) | CAD Designer III | Hr | 93.00 | | | |
| | b) | CAD Designer II | Hr | 81.00 | | | |
| | c) | CAD Designer I | Hr | 60.00 | | | |
| | d) | Technical Assistant | | 55.00 | | | |
| | e) | Clerical/Word Processing | Hr | 55.00 | | | |
| IV. | IV. SURVEYING | | | | | | |
| | a) | Senior Professional Land Surveyor | | 138.00 | | | |
| | b) | Professional Land Surveyor | Hr | 117.00 | | | |
| | c) | Survey Project Coordinator | Hr. บะ | 90.00 90.00 | | | |
| | d) e) | Survey Party Chief | Hr | 135.00 | | | |
| | Ð | Survey Party - 3 Man/Conventional | Hr | 175.00 | | | |
| | f) g) h) | Survey Party - 1 Man/GPS or Robotic | Hr | 135.00 | | | |
| | | Survey Party - 2 Man/GPS or Robotic | Hr | 175.00 | | | |
| | i) | Survey Party - 3 Man/GPS or Robotic | Hr | 215.00 | | | |
| v. | V. GENERAL EXPENSES | | | | | | |
| | a) | Automobile Transportation | Mi. | 0.75 | | | |
| | b) | Subcontract Service or Rental | Cost- | | | | |
| | c) d) | Report Preparation (outside services) | Cost | +15% +15% | | | |
| | u) e) | Outside Services (e.g. photographer, film processing, overnight delivery, etc.) Per Diem (food and lodging) | Dav | 130.00 | | | |
| | e) f) | Per Diem (no lodging) | Day | 30.00 | | | |
| | g) h) | Transportation by Commercial Carrier or Rental Car | Cost- | +15% | | | |
| | h) | Travel Expenses | Cost- | +15% | | | |
| VI. | TESTI | NG AND EQUIPMENT RENTAL FEES | | | | | |
| | a) | pH, Specific Conductance and Temperature Meter | Dav | 80.00 | | | |
| | b) | Peristaltic Filter Pump | Dav | 35.00 | | | |
| | c) | Electric Purge Pump | | 40.00 | | | |
| | d) | Grundfos Pump Control Box | | 100.00 | | | |
| | e) | Water Level Indicator | | 30.00 | | | |
| | | | - | | | | |

Any modification to this fee schedule requires the written approval of Weaver Boos Consultants

WEAVER BOOS CONSULTANTS FEE SCHEDULE (Effective January 1, 2012)

| | Unit | <u>U.S. \$</u> |
|-----|---|----------------|
| f) | Filter and Hose (for pump)Ea | 20.00 |
| g) | Micropurge Flow Cell and SondeDay | 120.00 |
| h) | Modified Level "D" (Tyveks, Boots, Gloves)/per person/per chg of clothingEa | 50.00 |
| i) | Photoionization Detector MeterDay | 110.00 |
| j) | Nuclear Density GaugeDay | 60.00 |
| k) | Air Sampling Equipment, per pumpDay | 50.00 |
| 1) | Hand Operated Field Probe EquipmentDay | 25.00 |
| m) | ExplosimeterDay | 30.00 |
| n) | Gas AnalyzerDay | 175.00 |
| 0) | Flame Ionization DetectorDay | 250.00 |
| p) | Interface ProbeDay | .50.00 |
| q) | ATVDay | 50.00 |
| r) | Company TruckDay | 75.00 |
| s) | Hand-Held Field GPS/G15Day | 150.00 |
| t) | Laser LevelDay | 75.00 |
| u) | Spatial Imaging Laser ScannerDay | 500.00 |
| V) | Ground Penetrating RadarDay | 2500.00 |
| w) | Geonics EM-61Day | 500.00 |
| x) | Field GPS Unit | 150.00 |
| y) | Electric GeneratorDay | 65.00 |
| z) | Slug Test EquipmentDay | 200.00 |
| aa) | All Weather Key Alike LocksEa | 18.50 |
| bb) | Equipment Trailer | 75.00 |
| cc) | Fluk Meter/Volt Meter/Loop CalibratorDay | 120.00 |

UNIT PRICE NOTES:

- 1. All professional, technical, and support staff time and expenses spent in furtherance of the client's work will be billed. This includes, but is not limited to, proposal, field, travel, research, technical review and reporting, project management, client meeting, and project-specific administrative time and expenses.
- 2. An overtime rate of 1.3 times the regular rate is billed for technical and support staff services for work in excess of 40 hours per week, work between 7:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m., and work on Saturdays. This overtime rate is increased to 2.0 times the regular rate for work on Sundays and holidays.
- 3. Unless otherwise agreed to in writing, a monthly interest charge of 18% per annum, will be charged accruing from the date of invoice, on all invoices not paid within 30 days.
- 4. The unit rates are subject to periodic modification (typically annually). These rate modifications will be incorporated into long-term projects, unless otherwise addressed in the project contract.
- 5. Deposition and testimony services are charged at 1.5 times the regular billing rate.

GENERAL EXPENSE NOTES:

- 1. Rates quoted are for expenses only, equipment purchased on the client's behalf is marked up 25%.
- 2. Personnel rates are billed separately from general expenses.
- 3. Standard non-disposable protective outer-wear or equipment damaged or contaminated by site conditions are billed at replacement cost plus 30%.
- 4. General expense mark-ups may be negotiated based upon contract size and payment terms.
- 5. The per diem rates set forth above are the standard rates we typically use for our technical staff on projects. We reserve the right to modify these rates in high cost areas.
- 6. Mileage rate is based on gasoline price of \$3.50 per gallon. A fuel surcharge may be added if a condition beyond Weaver Boos Consultants control warrants it.

TESTING AND EQUIPMENT RENTAL NOTES:

- 1. Rates for testing and equipment not listed above are available on request.
- 2. Testing and equipment rental costs are negotiable for specific projects and for on-site laboratory programs.
- 3. Laboratory unit prices cover equipment and labor costs to perform standard test procedures and laboratory reports with normal turn-around times. Non-standard testing requirements, supervisory and project management costs, data evaluation costs, and environmental sample disposal costs are not included in the testing unit prices and are billed separately.
- 4. Equipment rental rates are for equipment costs only. Transportation, calibration and personnel costs are billed separately.
- 5. Daily and weekly rates cover a maximum of 10 and 50 hours respectively.
- 6. SAMPLES WILL NOT BE RETAINED beyond classification and testing unless other arrangements are agreed to in writing. Environmental samples remain the property of the client.

Any modification to this fee schedule requires the written approval of Weaver Boos Consultants